(1945) Department.

G.O. No. 5-19-Edn.)

Dated 5-4-1945

Education Department.

From

Dr. B. B. Dey, D.Sc. (Lond.), F.R.I.,
Offg. Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department.
FORT ST. GEORGE, MADRAS
R.C.No. 216-D/42 dated 2nd February 1945.

Sir,

Grant-Building-St, Ann's Secondary and Training School, Mangal Extension.

I have the honour to state that a scheme for the construct' of extensions to the buildings of St.Ann's Secondary and Training School, Mangalore was placed on the approved list at an estimated cost of Rs. 8,380/-. Government in their Order No.Ms.652 Education dated 27.4.1944 have sanctioned a maximum grant of Rs. 4,190/- for the above scheme for disbursement during the current year.

2. Subsequently L.T. classes were opened in the institution and on the advice of the University Commission, the extension approved by the ~~xxx~~ were slightly modified so as to provide for (1) a combined Natural Science laboratory and Physiology optional class for the L.T. section and the High School; and (2) a dark room for photography. These alterations together with the deepening of the foundations from 2' to 3 feet due to the looseness of the soil have inflated the actual cost of construction to Rs. 12,196-5-1. According to rule 53(ii) of the Grant-in-Aid Code, works which cost more than Rs.10,000/- should be executed after calling for tenders. Though the present scheme had cost the management Rs. 12,196-5-1 no tenders were called for; the explanation of the Correspondent being that the original estimate of the scheme did not exceed Rs. 10,000/- and that when the work was actually started the abnormal rise in prices coupled with other unforeseen factors augmented the original cost. The Consulting Architect has approved the deviation and the revised amount of Rs. 12,196/- for completing the above scheme subject to certain conditions which will be satisfied early. In the above circumstances I request Government to ratify the action of the management in having executed the work without calling for open tenders.

3. I also recommend that Government may be pleased to raise the maximum grant to the above scheme from L.4,190/- fixed in G.O.No.Ms.652-Education dated 27.4.1944 to Lo 6098/-

4. In the current year there is a provision of L.4,190/- for payment to this scheme. Permission may be kindly granted to disburse this amount as advance grant during the current year. The balance may be paid next year; and necessary provision therefor has already been included in the part I estimate for 1945-46 already submitted to Government by me

Grant:- Building St Anns Secondary
5/4/1945 and Training School, Mangalore - Extension
Rs 6098 - Sanctioned.

CP Read again G.O. No 652 Edn dated 27.4.1944
Ltr Ltr 5166 from the D.P.I. Rc No 216-D/44-2 dated 2.2.45
on 519 Edn. dated 5.4.45.

In the circumstances explained stated
Govt. approve the proposal of the DPI that the maximum
~~Sanction a grant not exceeding~~
Rs 6098 (Rupees six thousand ninety eight only)
towards the ~~Construction~~ cost of extensions of the
buildings of the St Ann's Secondary and Training
School, Mangalore be raised to Rs 6098 (Rupees six
thousand ninety eight only)
~~The orders in para 1 of G.O. No 652 Edn dated 27.4.~~
~~will be modified accordingly.~~

of the ~~school~~ introduction in a hearing ex
work without calling for ope
ratified

Grant - Building - St Anns Secondary & Training Schoo
Mangalore - Extension
Ems 5166/45 - From the DPI RC no 216-B/42 dy 2.2.4

The extension to the buildings of St. Ann's secondary and Training School, Mangalore, was originally estimated to cost Rs 8380 and a half g not exceeding one-half of the actual cost of the wo subject to a maximum of Rs 4,190 was sanctione for it in Go. no 652 Edn dy 27.4.44. The actu of construction of the extension has amounted to R 12,196 and the Consulting Architect has approve revised estimate of cost. The DPI recommends the maximum grant payable for the work may b from Rs 4,190 to Rs 6,098 - equivalent to one-half o actual cost of the work

2. The increase in the cost of construction was d to the following causes:-

(1) Modification of the ~~extension proposed~~ original plan in order to provi for a combined Natural Science laboratory and Physiology optional class for L.T. section & the Higher and a dark room for photography

(2) Deepening of the foundations from 2½ to 3 fee due to the looseness of the soil

(3) Rise in prices of materials.

The additional cost on account of each item is not Repar The increase in cost on account of items (2) & (3) refer to above, may be admitted for purposes of grant vide in this connection the notes on pp 24-25 of G Go. no 601 Edn dy 26.4.43 - In regard to item (1), it i reported that the modification of the extension approved by the DPI was made on account of th opening of the L.T. class & on the advice of the University Commission - The Management of the Sch ought to have obtained the approval of the DPI & the Go

; this modification of the scheme before commencing the work. It is for consideration whether this portion of the work should be approved & the maximum grant payable for the work increased to Rs 6098 as recommended by the DPI or whether information in regard to the additional cost incurred on account of this modification should be called for. ~~Attention is in this connection solicited to Go. No 2362 dt 15.2.43 in which the maximum grant payable for a work was increased on account of an addition made later on.~~

It is not clear whether the modification had the sanction prior to the appl: of D.P.I. or was executed before the approval was received. The matter may be left at that & need not be pursued further by us 3/3

2 The management of the School failed to invite open tenders for the work. In view of the reasons given at 'B' on p 1 ante, the DPI recommends that the action of the management may be ratified - His recommendation may be accepted.

Σ 3.3.45 by

3. Pending decision on the question of increasing the maximum grant for the extension, the DPI may be permitted to disburse to the management the grant of Rs 4,190 provided for the work in the Current year's Estimate of Expenditure.

I have ~~had~~ to keep to DPI's pl. for below

Σ 3.3.45

4 The remarks of Finance may be invited re

KSK 27.2.45

The C.M. has approved the deviations from the original estimate and the R.E. of Rs 12,196. For orders whether the grant may not be issued from Rs 4,190 to Rs 6098 straightaway, as recommended by D.P.I.

May be done by

Σ 3.3.45 S/ Typed 6/Mar - J.V.

Finance (Exp.B).

The modification in the original plans referred to at A on page 1 above seems to be the main reason for the increase in the estimate from Rs 8,380 to Rs 12,196. Before proceeding to execute the scheme, as modified, the management of the Institution in question should have got the prior approval of the DPI, especially as an increase in grant was ~~involved~~ desired. As ~~they~~ it did not do so, it should be deemed that ~~they have~~ it has taken the risk of ~~bearing~~ having to bear the entire extra cost ~~falling on themselves~~. However, in view

Edn 5166 B/45-1 6/3/45

A.D. 6.3.45

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 7-MAR 1945 EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

In view of the facts that the Management did not obtain the prior approval of the D.P.I. for the alteration referred to at 'A' on p.1 above & that the work was executed without inviting tenders, as required by th rules, Finance may suggest that the Govt.'s gra be limited to the amount (Rs. 4,190) already sanctioned approved. (It is quite possible that the extra expenditure involved could be met by the manag ment itself)

If grant appd 4,190
" now proposed by E.P.H. 6,098
Diffce Rs. 1,190

09932

in no HY 31 E

10.3.45.

PU: put up the papers about admitting the L.T. Section to teaching grant.

11.3.45

(D) Case see (pl cf & pp 2-5 a/c) the notes in G.O. No 564 Edn dd 14.4.1944. The main point here is that the plans originally approved by D.P.I. had to be modified to meet also the needs of the L.T. class for Women. The L.T. class has been admitted to teaching grant — Vide the notes ending with the minutes of 9th & 10th Nov: 1943 at pp 4 & 5 ante (D) The Post-war Reconstruction Sub-committee for Education has also taken the view that there is necessity for more Women's training colleges. There are two additional objections viz that D.P.I's previous approval was not obtd to the modification in the plan and that open tenders were not invited & obtd. The reasons given for not accepting lowest tenders, viz the D.P.I's letter, appear to be cogent. Secy: has noted already that the present case is not comparable with (T) where the management's inability to meet the increased cost was definitely ascertained. Ed. D. M. may therefore press the case for a half grant not exceeding Rs. 6,098.

SD 13.3.45 Hon 13.3.45

To 601 Edn (T) 26.4.43

(May be agreed to under the circs. MM 13/4/5 13.3.45

14/3/45 A2. A1

A draft order is sent herewith for approval.
(Previous fic. note on pp. 2-3 above)
The order was circulated on p. 3
above & the draft order may also be perused
and the case returned to E.P.H.

(For funds, please see portion on p. 2 c.f.)